arXiv : 1411.6541v3

Wigner-Souriau translations and Lorentz symmetry of chiral fermions

C. Duval[1*], M. Elbistan[2†], P. A. Horváthy[2,3‡], P.-M. Zhang[3§]

Aix-Marseille University, CNRS UMR-7332,

Univ. Sud Toulon-Var 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, (France)

[2]*Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de Physique Théorique, Université de Tours, (France)*

[3]*Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, (China)*

(Dated: January 20, 2015)

Abstract

Chiral fermions can be embedded into Souriau's massless spinning particle model by "enslaving" the spin, viewed as a gauge constraint. The latter is not invariant under Lorentz boosts; spin enslavement can be restored, however, by a Wigner-Souriau (WS) translation, analogous to a compensating gauge transformation. The combined transformation is precisely the recently uncovered twisted boost, which we now extend to finite transformations. WS-translations are identified with the stability group of a motion acting on the right on the Poincaré group, whereas the natural Poincaré action corresponds to action on the left. The relation to non-commutative mechanics is explained.

PACS numbers:

11.15.Kc Classical and semiclassical techniques

11.30.-j Symmetry and conservation laws

11.30.Cp Lorentz and Poincaré invariance

03.65.Vf Phases: geometric; dynamic or topological

* mailto:duval@cpt.univ-mrs.fr

† mailto:elbistan@itu.edu.tr

‡ mailto:horvathy@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

§ e-mail:zhpm@impcas.ac.cn

arXiv:1411.6541v3 [hep-th] 18 Jan 2015

1. INTRODUCTION

Semiclassical chiral fermions of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ can be described by the phase-space action

$$S = \int \Big(\big(\boldsymbol{p} + e\boldsymbol{A}\big) \cdot \frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{dt} - h - \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}}{dt} \Big) dt, \qquad h = |\boldsymbol{p}| + e\phi(\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p})$ is a vector potential for the "Berry monopole" in $\boldsymbol{p}$-space, $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{p}} \times \boldsymbol{a} = \dfrac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}}{2|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}$, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} = \boldsymbol{p}/|\boldsymbol{p}|$, $\boldsymbol{p} \neq 0$. Here $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})$ are [static] vector and scalar potentials and e is the electric charge [1–6]. A distinctive feature is that spin is "enslaved" to the momentum, i.e.,

$$\boldsymbol{s} = \frac{1}{2}\, \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}. \tag{1.2}$$

An intriguing aspect of the model is its *lack of manifest Lorentz symmetry*. Recently [5], it was shown, though, that modifying the dispersion relation in (1.1) as $h = |\boldsymbol{p}| + e\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) + \dfrac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}}{2|\boldsymbol{p}|}$ yields a theory which is covariant w.r.t. Lorentz transformations. Turning off the external field, their expression # (6) reduces to

$$\delta\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{\beta}\, t + \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}}{2|\boldsymbol{p}|}, \qquad \delta\boldsymbol{p} = |\boldsymbol{p}|\, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \qquad \delta t = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is an infinitesimal Lorentz boost. This formula has also been found, independently [6], by relating the chiral fermion to Souriau's model of a relativistic massless spinning particle [6, 7].

The chiral and the Souriau systems [7] have seemingly different degrees of freedom: the first one has "enslaved" spin, while the latter has "unchained" spin represented by a vector $\boldsymbol{s}$, which may not satisfy (1.2). However, the freedom specific to the massless case of applying what we call a *Wigner-Souriau* (WS) *translation*, Eq. (2.7) below [23], allows one to eliminate the additional degrees of freedom of the Souriau framework, so that the two systems have identical spaces of motions [6, 7]. This enabled us to *"export"* the natural Lorentz symmetry to the chiral system, yielding (1.3).

In this Note we derive (1.3) in another, rather more intuitive way, namely by *embedding* the chiral fermion model directly into the Souriau model, namely by viewing spin enslavement, (1.2), as a *gauge condition* with WS translations and viewed as gauge transformations. Then our clue is that a natural Lorentz boost does not leave the constraint (1.2) invariant; the "gauge" condition (1.2) can, however, be restored by a WS translation, yielding, once

again, (1.3). This is reminiscent of what happens in *gauge theories*, where a space-time transformation can be a symmetry when the variation of the vector potential can be compensated by a suitable gauge transformation [13].

Further insight is gained in Section 5 which clarifies the geometry hidden behind : while the natural Poincaré action corresponds the left-action of the Poincaré group on itself, WS translations correspond to the right-action of the stability group of a motion.

We conclude our Letter by some remarks about non-commutativity.

2. SYMPLECTIC DESCRIPTION OF THE CHIRAL AND THE MASSLESS SPINNING MODELS

Both the chiral and the Souriau models can conveniently be described within Souriau's framework [6, 7], where the classical motions are identified with curves or surfaces in some evolution space, and are tangent to the kernel of a closed two-form; see [6] for details. We limit our considerations to the free case; coupling to external fields has been discussed in the literature, see [6, 14].

We first consider the [free] chiral model (1.1). It has been shown [6] that the associated variational problem admits an alternative geometric formulation. To that end, we introduce the seven dimensional evolution space $V^7 = T(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}$ described by triples $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t)$, and endow it with the two-form σ defined by

$$\sigma = \omega - dh \wedge dt \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = dp_i \wedge dx^i - \frac{1}{4|\boldsymbol{p}|^3}\epsilon^{ijk}\, p_i\, dp_j \wedge dp_k\,, \quad h = |\boldsymbol{p}|. \tag{2.1}$$

The two-forms ω and σ are closed, since $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = 0$. The kernel of σ defines an integrable distribution, whose leaves [integral manifolds] can be viewed as generalized solutions of the variational problem. Here, the kernel is one-dimensional and a curve $\big(\boldsymbol{x}(t), \boldsymbol{p}(t), t\big)$ is tangent to it iff the equations of motion,

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{dt} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}, \qquad \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}}{dt} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

are satisfied [6]; the solution is plainly $\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{p}_0 = \text{const}$, $\boldsymbol{x}(t) = \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\, t$, $\boldsymbol{x}_0 = \text{const}$, i.e., the motion is in the $\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}$ direction with the velocity of light.

The Souriau model admits a similar description [6]. Restricting ourselves again to the

free case, the evolution space here is 9-dimensional and is described by

$$V^9 = \left\{ R, P \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}, S \in \mathfrak{o}(3,1) \,\middle|\, P_\mu P^\mu = 0, P^4 > 0, S_{\mu\nu} P^\nu = 0, S_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \right\} \tag{2.3}$$

The evolution space is endowed with the closed two-form

$$\sigma = -dP_\mu \wedge dR^\mu - 2\, dS^\mu_{\ \lambda} \wedge S^\lambda_{\ \rho}\, dS^\rho_{\ \mu}. \tag{2.4}$$

A $(3+1)$-decomposition can be introduced by writing, in a Lorentz frame, $R = (\boldsymbol{r}, t)$, and $P = (\boldsymbol{p}, |\boldsymbol{p}|)$ where $\boldsymbol{p} \neq 0$. The components $S_{\mu\nu}$ of the spin tensor can in turn be split into space and space-time components,

$$S_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}\, s^k, \quad S_{j4} = \Sigma_j \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \times \boldsymbol{s}, \tag{2.5}$$

the 3-vector $\boldsymbol{s}$ being interpreted as the spin in the chosen Lorentz frame. Note that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is not an independent variable, so that a point of V^9 can be labeled by $(\boldsymbol{r}, t, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{s})$. Then the [free] equations of motion associated with the kernel of σ in (2.4) are expressed as [24],

$$-\,\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}} + |\boldsymbol{p}|\, \dot{t} = 0, \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{p}} = 0, \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{s}} = \boldsymbol{p} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}, \tag{2.6}$$

and can be deduced from Eq. (3.6) in [6]. A particular solution of (2.6) is obtained by *embedding the chiral solution above into the spin-extended evolution space* V^9 by identifying $\boldsymbol{r}$ with $\boldsymbol{x}$ in (2.2) and completing [trivially] with a constant spin vector, $\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{p}_0 = \text{const}$, $\boldsymbol{r}(t) = \boldsymbol{r}_0 + \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\, t$, $\boldsymbol{r}_0 = \text{const}\,.$, $\boldsymbol{s}(t) = \boldsymbol{s}_0 = \text{const}\,.$ A remarkable feature of Eqs (2.6) is that, for an arbitrary 3-vector $\boldsymbol{W}$, the transformation we refer to as a *Wigner-Souriau* (WS) *translation* [4, 6–10],

$$\boldsymbol{r} \to \boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{W},\, t \to t + \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{W},\, \boldsymbol{p} \to \boldsymbol{p},\, \boldsymbol{s} \to \boldsymbol{s} + \boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{W} \tag{2.7}$$

takes a solution of (2.6) into another, equivalent one. The kernel of (2.4) is invariant under WS-translations and is in fact 3-dimensional, swept by the images of the embedded solutions.

The spin vector here, $\boldsymbol{s}$, is not necessarily "enslaved", i.e., may not be parallel to the momentum, $\boldsymbol{p}$. The spin constraint in (2.3) implies nevertheless that the projection of the spin onto the momentum and the perpendicular component $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ satisfy,

$$\boldsymbol{s} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} = \frac{1}{2}\,, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \times \boldsymbol{s}, \tag{2.8}$$

respectively, see (2.5). From the WS action above we infer that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \to \boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \times (\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{W})$. It follows that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ can be *eliminated* : choosing $\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}/|\boldsymbol{p}|$ carries $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ to zero.

The Poincaré group acts naturally on V^9, namely according to [6, 7]

$$\begin{cases} \delta \boldsymbol{r} &= \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{\beta} t + \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \\ \delta t &= \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} + \varepsilon, \\ \delta \boldsymbol{p} &= \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \, |\boldsymbol{p}|, \\ \delta \boldsymbol{s} &= \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\omega, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \varepsilon$ are identified with infinitesimal rotations, boosts, translations and time-translations; their action duly projects to Minkowski space-time as the natural one. In what follows, we focus our attention at boosts; WS-translations will be studied further in Sec. 5.

3. EMBEDDING THE CHIRAL SYSTEM INTO THE MASSLESS SPINNING MODEL

Now we embed the evolution space of the chiral model, V^7, into that of the massless spinning particle, V^9. We note first that, by (2.8), spin enslavement, (1.2), is equivalent to

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \times \boldsymbol{s} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

which, viewed as a constraint, defines a seven dimensional submanifold of V^9 that we parametrize with $\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}, t$ and denote (with a suggestive abuse of notation) still V^7. Eqns (2.6) and (2.8) imply that $\dot{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = |\boldsymbol{p}| \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \, \dot{t} - \dot{\boldsymbol{r}} \right)$. Requiring $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = 0$ is therefore consistent with the dynamics : the motions of the chiral system lie in the intersection of the 3-dimensional characteristic leaves of V^9 with the surface V^7 defined by spin enslaving; they remain therefore motions also for the extended dynamics [25]. A chiral motion is embedded into V^9 by respecting the gauge condition (1.2), namely as $\gamma(t) = \left(\boldsymbol{r}(t) = \boldsymbol{x}(t), \boldsymbol{p}(t), \boldsymbol{s}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}(t) \right)$.

4. LORENTZ BOOST ACTIONS

We consider now an infinitesimal Lorentz boost, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. For $\boldsymbol{s} = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}$ we have $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} (\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{s}) = \frac{1}{2} \, \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{p}$, which does *not* vanish in general : *spin and momentum do not remain parallel* even if they were so initially: *embedding the chiral system into the Souriau model through spin enslavement is not boost-invariant : natural boost symmetry is broken* by spin enslavement. However, as dictated by the analogy with gauge symmetries [13], let us apply an infinitesimal



FIG. 1: *Enslaving the spin amounts to embedding the chiral evolution space* V^7 *into that,* V^9*, of the massless spinning particle. A chiral motion thus becomes the intersection of* V^7 *with the characteristic leaf of* $\ker\sigma$ *(depicted as a vertical plane). Enslavement is not invariant under a (natural) boost* $\boldsymbol{b}$*, but a suitable compensating Wigner-Souriau translation* $\boldsymbol{W}$ *allows us to re-enslave the spin. The combination of the two transformations yields the twisted boost* $\boldsymbol{B}$ *in (1.3).*

WS-translation

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{W},\ \delta_{\boldsymbol{W}}t = \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\cdot\boldsymbol{W},\ \delta_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{p} = 0,\ \delta_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{p}\times\boldsymbol{W} \quad \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{W} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}\times\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}}{2|\boldsymbol{p}|}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Then $\delta_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{s} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\,(\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta})\big)$, implying that the combined transformation $\delta = \delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \delta_{\boldsymbol{W}}$ *does preserve spin enslavement*, $\delta(\boldsymbol{p}\times\boldsymbol{s}) = 0$. The transformation of V^7 generated by δ is precisely the twisted boost (1.3) [26].

So far we studied infinitesimal actions only. But our strategy is valid also for finite transformations, as we show it now. Firstly, from Appendix C of [6] we infer the action of a finite boost $\boldsymbol{b}$ on the evolution space V^9, namely

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{r}' &= \boldsymbol{r} + (\gamma-1)\,(\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{r})\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}} + \gamma\, t\,\boldsymbol{b}\,, \\ t' &= \gamma(\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} + t)\,, \\ \boldsymbol{p}' &= \boldsymbol{p} + \gamma\,|\boldsymbol{p}|\boldsymbol{b} + (\gamma-1)\,(\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{p})\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}\,, \\ \boldsymbol{s}' &= \boldsymbol{s} + (\gamma-1)\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}\times(\boldsymbol{s}\times\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}) - \gamma\,\boldsymbol{b}\times\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

which is consistent with the infinitesimal action (2.9). Then, starting with enslaved spin, $\boldsymbol{s} = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}$, we find,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}' = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}'\times\boldsymbol{s}'}{|\boldsymbol{p}'|} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\gamma^2|\boldsymbol{b}| + (\gamma^2-1)\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}\cdot\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\,\big)\,\frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}\times\boldsymbol{p}}{|\boldsymbol{p}'|} \tag{4.3}$$

which does not vanish in general: spin is unchained. At last, the finite WS-translation (2.7) with $\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}'/|\boldsymbol{p}'|$ restores the validity of (1.2): spin is re-enslaved, $\boldsymbol{s}'' = \frac{1}{2}\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}'$. The combined transformation for finite boosts,

$$\boldsymbol{r}'' \;=\; \boldsymbol{r} + \gamma\, t\, \boldsymbol{b} + (\gamma - 1)\,(\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}\cdot\ \boldsymbol{r})\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{b}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'}{|\boldsymbol{p}'|}, \tag{4.4}$$

completed with $t'' = t'$ and $\boldsymbol{p}'' = \boldsymbol{p}'$ where t', $\boldsymbol{p}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'$ are given in (4.2) and (4.3), respectively. The infinitesimal action is (1.3) as it should be. In conclusion, Fig. 1 is *valid also for finite boosts*.

We now turn to the *space of motions* [6, 7], defined as the quotient of the evolution space by the characteristic foliation of σ; we denote it by M. The equations of motion of the spin-extended system, (2.6), imply that

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \boldsymbol{r}(t) - \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\, t + \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|} \tag{4.5}$$

is in fact a constant of the motion, $d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}/dt = 0$. It can be used therefore to label the motion, i.e., a characteristic leaf in V^9. The conserved momentum, $\boldsymbol{p}$, is another good coordinate set on M, which is 6-dimensional, and whose points can therefore be labeled by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ and $\boldsymbol{p} \neq 0$.

In [6], we derived the twisted boost (1.3) from the Poincaré action on the space of motions. Conversely, the latter can be obtained from our construction here. Boosting in V^9 according to (2.9) we find

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\big(\boldsymbol{r} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}t\big) = -\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\,\big(\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot(\boldsymbol{r} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}t)\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|}\right) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}\times\boldsymbol{s}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\,\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|}\,,$$

respectively. In view of (4.5), the preceding terms combine to yield

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\beta}\times\frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}\,(\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}). \tag{4.6}$$

Now WS-translations act in turn trivially on the space of motions, $\delta_{\boldsymbol{W}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = \delta_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{p} = 0$, since they move each characteristic leave within itself. Completing (4.6) with $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{p} = |\boldsymbol{p}|\boldsymbol{\beta}$ cf. (2.9), we end up with the (boost-)action on the space of motions, – Eq. (3.19) in [6]. At last, applying (4.2) to each term in (4.5) allows us to confirm the finite action on the space of motions, Eq. # (3.24) in [6].

5. THE GEOMETRY OF WIGNER-SOURIAU TRANSFORMATIONS

Do WS-translations belong to the Poincaré group ? To answer this question, let us first briefly summarize Souriau's construction for "elementary systems" [meaning that the group

acts symplectically and transitively] [7].

The connected component of the Poincaré group, G, can be identified with the group of matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix} L & C \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where L is a Lorentz transformation, $L \in H$ (the connected Lorentz group), and C a Minkowski-space vector. The Poincaré Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}$, is hence spanned by the matrices $X = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Gamma \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where the infinitesimal Lorentz transformations and translations, $\Lambda \in \mathrm{so}(3,1)$, and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, respectively, are such that, in a given Lorentz frame, $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} j(\boldsymbol{\omega}) & \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$ with $\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ interpreted as an infinitesimal rotation, boost, and space-translation, while $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ stands for an infinitesimal time-translation. Here $j(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is the matrix of cross product in 3-space, $j(\boldsymbol{\omega})\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{x}$.

The Poincaré group acts on itself *on the left*, $g \to hg$, for $h \in G$, and the quotient of G by the subgroup of Lorentz transformations, G/H, can be identified with Minkowski space-time. This left-action of G on itself projects to the natural action, infinitesimally the two upper lines in (2.9), of the Poincaré group on space-time.

The Poincaré group acts on the dual Lie algebra by the co-adjoint representation, defined by $\mathrm{Coad}_g\mu \cdot X = \mu \cdot g^{-1}Xg$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. We denote here by $\mu = (M, P) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ a "moment" of the Poincaré group, and by $\mu \cdot X = \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu}\Lambda^{\mu\nu} - P_\mu\Gamma^\mu$ its contraction with $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, see [7].

Contracting the Maurer-Cartan 1-form, $g^{-1}dg$, with and arbitrary fixed element μ_0 of the dual of Lie algebra, yields a real one-form on the group G; we denote by $\sigma = d\big(\mu_0 \cdot g^{-1}dg\big)$ its exterior derivative. As a general fact, the characteristic leaves of the two-form σ, defined by its kernel, are identified with the classical motions [12] associated with μ_0. Indeed, the space of all motions, M, of an elementary system for the group G is interpreted by Souriau [7] as the orbit of some basepoint μ_0 under the coadjoint action, $M = \mathrm{Coad}_G\mu_0 \approx G/G_0$, where G_0 is the stability subgroup of μ_0. The coadjoint orbit, M, is hence canonically endowed with the symplectic structure defined by the Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau two-form ω, the image of σ under the projection $G \to M$.

In our case and following [7], the basepoint can readily be chosen as $\mu_0 = (M_0, P_0)$ with $M_0 = s\begin{pmatrix} j(\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P_0 = |\boldsymbol{p}_0| \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0 = \mathbf{e}_3$; here $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is the scalar spin and the positive constant $|\boldsymbol{p}_0|$ is the energy. Then a straightforward calculation shows that the Poincaré Lie algebra element (Λ, Γ) with parameters $\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ leaves the chosen

basepoint μ_0 invariant whenever

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0 + \lambda \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0}{|\boldsymbol{p}_0|} + \varepsilon\, \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0. \tag{5.1}$$

The stability Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}_0$, is therefore 4-dimensional, parametrized by $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \varepsilon, \lambda)$, where $\boldsymbol{\beta} \perp \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ represents an infinitesimal rotation around $\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0$. We note that the evolution space V^9 is in fact the quotient of the Poincaré group by rotations around $\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0$, and (2.9) above is in fact the projection of the infinitesimal left-action of the group G to $V^9 \approx G/\mathrm{SO}(2)$, whereas the 2-form $d\big(\mu_0 \cdot g^{-1} dg\big)$ projects as (2.4), as anticipated by the notation.

Remember now that the Poincaré group also acts on itself *from the right*, $g \to gh^{-1}$, for $h \in G$; its infinitesimal right-action is therefore given by matrix multiplication, $\delta_X g = -gX$, where $X = \delta h$ at $h = 1$. Choosing in particular $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, the action on the group reads $\delta_X \begin{pmatrix} L & C \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -L\Lambda & -L\Gamma \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$, where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ are as in (5.1). This 4-parameter vector field belongs, at each point $g \in G$, to the kernel of the two-form σ; in fact, it *generates* its kernel [7]. Renaming the Poincaré translation C as $R = (\boldsymbol{r}, t)$, a space-time event, shows that the right-action on G of a vector X from the stability algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ yields,

$$\delta_X \boldsymbol{r} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|} - \varepsilon\, \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \qquad \& \qquad \delta_X t = -\varepsilon. \tag{5.2}$$

This transformation satisfies the condition $\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \cdot \delta_X \boldsymbol{r} = \delta_X t$ required for an infinitesimal WS-translation; conversely, any WS-translation $\boldsymbol{W}$ is of the form (5.2), with $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{p}$ and λ arbitrary. Therefore (with a slight abuse) we will refer to $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acting from the right as a WS-translations.

Comparing now (5.2) with (4.1) allows us to conclude that *while a boost $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ acting on the left unchains the spin, the latter is re-enslaved by a WS-translation with the same boost, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, acting from the right.*

It is readily verified that the right-action of an X from the stability algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts as $\delta_X \boldsymbol{p} = 0$ and $\delta_X \boldsymbol{s} = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \times (\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}} \times \boldsymbol{\beta})$, consistently with the infinitesimal action. Thus, after rotations around $\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0$ are factored out, the right-action of the stability subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ projects to V^9 as the WS translations. In conclusion, Wigner-Souriau translations *are* Poincaré transformations, — but which act on the *right* and not on the left as natural ones do. Projecting further down to the space of motions, M, they act trivially. The various spaces are shown, symbolically, on Fig. 2 below.



FIG. 2: *The evolution space, V^9, is the quotient of the Poincaré group, G^{10} by rotations around $\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}_0$. The space of motions is identified with a coadjoint orbit $M^6 \approx G^{10}/G_0$ of G^{10}, where G_0, the stability group of the basepoint μ_0. The left-action of G^{10} on itself projects to the natural Poincaré action on both the space of motions and Minkowski space-time, consistently with projecting first to V^9 and then to M^6 and $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, respectively.*

6. RELATION TO NON-COMMUTATIVITY

The spaces of motions of both the chiral and the Souriau systems carry the symplectic structure ω in (2.1) [with $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ replacing $\boldsymbol{x}$] [6]. Translating into Poisson bracket language, we have $\{\xi^\alpha, \xi^\beta\} = \omega^{\alpha\beta}(\xi)$ where $(\omega^{\alpha\beta}) = -(\omega_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$ are the coefficients of the inverse of the symplectic form $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}(\xi) d\xi^\alpha \wedge d\xi^\beta$. Therefore the components of the space of motions coordinates $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ do *not to Poisson-commute*,

$$\left\{\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{x}^j\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon^{ijk}\frac{p_k}{|\boldsymbol{p}|^3}\,. \tag{6.1}$$

Putting $t = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = 0$ into (4.5) shows that the chiral coordinate $\boldsymbol{x}$ satisfies the same commutation relation. Non-commutativity is thus a consequence of spin, cf. [16–19]; chiral fermions provide just another example of non-commutative mechanics.

It is worth stressing that the non-commutativity is *unrelated* to WS translations. Non-commutativity arises in fact due to the twisted symplectic structure of the *space of motions* (modelled by the phase space); but the WS translations act on the evolution space *before projecting, trivially*, to the space of motions.

In the operator context a *Bopp-shift* transforms the commutation relations to canonical ones [20]. In the (semi)classical context we are interested in it amounts to introducing

new, canonical coordinates, eliminating spatial non-commutativity altogether. Now Darboux's theorem [21] guarantees that this is always possible *locally*. In detail, we redefine the coordinates as

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}), \qquad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}} = \boldsymbol{p}, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p})$ is a "Berry" vector potential. Then, in terms of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}}$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}$, the symplectic form ω in (2.1) has the canonical expression $\omega = d\widetilde{P}_i \wedge d\widetilde{X}^i$, so that $\{\widetilde{X}^i, \widetilde{X}^j\} = \{\widetilde{P}_i, \widetilde{P}_j\} = 0$ and $\{\widetilde{P}_i, \widetilde{X}^j\} = \delta_i^j$. Spin seems thus eliminated. This is *not* possible globally, though, since any $\boldsymbol{a}$ is singular along a Dirac string, as it is well-known.

The variation of the Berry vector potential under a boost $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is then found to be $\delta\boldsymbol{a} = -\boldsymbol{\beta}\times\dfrac{\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}}{2|\boldsymbol{p}|} - (\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta})\,\hat{\boldsymbol{p}} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\big(|\boldsymbol{p}|\,\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{a}\big)$. It follows that, in terms of the Darboux coordinates (6.2), the infinitesimal action of boosts is given by

$$\delta\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}} = -(\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}})\,\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}}}{|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}}|} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}}}\big(|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}}|\,\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{a}\big), \qquad \delta\widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}} = \boldsymbol{\beta}|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}}|. \tag{6.3}$$

Redefining analogously the chiral coordinate as $\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{p}$ allows us to infer

$$\delta\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{\beta}t - (\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{a})\hat{\boldsymbol{P}} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{P}}(|\boldsymbol{P}|\,\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{a}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}t + |\boldsymbol{P}|\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{P}}\big(\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{a}\big), \qquad \delta\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{P}|, \tag{6.4}$$

which is reminiscent of but still different from the natural action (2.9).

7. CONCLUSION

In this Letter, we re-derived the twisted Lorentz symmetry (1.3) of chiral fermions by embedding the theory of Refs. [1–5] into Souriau's massless spinning model [6, 7] by spin enslavement, (1.2), viewed as a gauge fixing. The latter is not boost invariant, but enslavement can be restored by a suitable compensating WS-translation, which is analogous to a gauge transformation [13]. Our formula (4.4) extends (1.3) to finite transformations.

The motions of the extended model are not mere curves but 3-planes, swept by WS-translations. The massless relativistic particle is delocalized [4, 6–11] and behaves rather as a *3-brane*.

Coupling to an external electromagnetic field breaks the WS "gauge" symmetry, so that spin can not be enslaved. The motions then take place along curves: the particle gets localized [6]. New results [22] indicate however that while a WS translation *is unobservable* for an infinite plane wave, it *is observable* for a wave packet. Intuitively, forming and keeping together a wave packet behaves as a sort of interaction.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Mike Stone for calling our attention at chiral fermions and for enlightening correspondence. ME is indebted to *Service de Cooperation et Culturel de l'Ambassade de France en Turquie* and to the *Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de Physique Théorique* of Tours University. P. Kosinski and his collaborators work on a related project [15]. This work was supported by the Major State Basic Research Development Program in China (No. 2015CB856903) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11035006 and 11175215).

---

[1] M. A. Stephanov and Y. Yin, "Chiral Kinetic Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012) 162001 [arXiv:1207.0747 [hep-th]].

[2] D. T. Son and N. Yamamoto, "Kinetic theory with Berry curvature from quantum field theories," Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 085016 [arXiv:1210.8158 [hep-th]].

[3] M. Stone and V. Dwivedi, "Classical version of the non-Abelian gauge anomaly," Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 4, 045012 [arXiv:1305.1955 [hep-th]];

[4] M. Stone, V. Dwivedi, T. Zhou, "Berry Phase, Lorentz Covariance, and Anomalous Velocity for Dirac and Weyl Particles," Phys. Rev. D 91, 025004 (2015); [arXiv: 1406.0354].

[5] J. Y. Chen, D. T. Son, M. A. Stephanov, H. U. Yee and Y. Yin, "Lorentz Invariance in Chiral Kinetic Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** (2014) 18, 182302 [arXiv:1404.5963 [hep-th]].

[6] C. Duval and P. A. Horvathy, "Chiral fermions as classical massless spinning particles," [arXiv:1406.0718 [hep-th]].

[7] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des systèmes dynamiques*, Dunod (1970). *Structure of Dynamical Systems. A Symplectic View of Physics*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1997).

[8] E. Wigner, "On unitary representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group," Ann. Math. 40 149-204 (1939).

[9] A. Trautman, F.A.E. Pirani and H. Bondi, *Lectures on general relativity, Brandeis Summer Institute in Theoretical Physics*, 1964, vol. 1 (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 1965).

[10] R. Penrose, "Twistor algebra," J. Math. Phys. **8** (1967) 345.

[11] B. S. Skagerstam, "Localization of massless spinning particles and the Berry phase," hep-th/9210054.

[12] C. Duval, "On the polarizers of compact semi-simple Lie groups. Applications," *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **A 34** (1981) 95–115.

[13] P. Forgacs and N. S. Manton, "Space-Time Symmetries in Gauge Theories," Commun. Math. Phys. **72** (1980) 15.

[14] P.-M. Zhang and P. A. Horvathy, "Anomalous Hall Effect for semiclassical chiral fermions," Phys. Lett. **A 379** (2015) 507; arXiv:1409.4225 [hep-th].

[15] P. Kosinski et al., "Massless relativistic particles," (work in progress). See also J. A. de Azcarraga, S. Fedoruk, J. M. Izquierdo and J. Lukierski, "Two-twistor particle models and free massive higher spin fields," arXiv:1409.7169 [hep-th].

[16] A. O. Barut and A. J. Bracken, "The Zitterbewegung and the Internal Geometry of the Electron," Phys. Rev. D **23** (1981) 2454; A. O. Barut and Nino Zanghi, "Classical Model of the Dirac Electron," Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 2009 (1984)

[17] H. Bacry, "The Poincare Group, the Dirac Monopole and Photon Localization," J. Phys. A **14** (1981) L73.

[18] C. Duval, J. Elhadad et G.M. Tuynman, "Pukanszky's Condition and Symplectic Induction," J. Diff. Geom. **36** (1992) 331–348; C. Duval and J. Elhadad, "Geometric Quantization and Localization of Relativistic Spin Systems," *in Proc. AMS of the 1991 Joint Summer Research Conference on Mathematical Aspects of Classical Field Theory*, Seattle 1991, (M.J. Gotay, J.E. Marsden, V.E. Moncrief Eds), Contemporary Mathematics **132** (1992), pp. 317–330,

[19] C. Duval Z. Horváth and P. A. Horváthy, "Geometrical SpinOptics and the Optical Hall Effect," Journ. Geom. Phys. **57**, 925 (2007). [math-ph/0509031].

[20] T. Curtright, D. Fairlie and C. K. Zachos, "Features of time independent Wigner functions," Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 025002 [hep-th/9711183].

[21] G. Darboux, "Sur le problème de Pfaff," Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 6 (1882), 14–36; 49–68. R. Abraham and J.E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley (1978).

[22] M. Stone, V. Dwivedi, T. Zhou, "Wigner translations and the observer-dependence of the position of massless spinning particles," work in progress.

[23] Wigner-Souriau translations (called "Z-shifts" in [6]) were known to Wigner [8] and to Trautmann [9], Penrose [10]. Their use in the semiclassical framework was advocated by Souriau

[7]. They were overlooked by most present authors with the notable exception of Stone et al. [4], who also suggested viewing them as gauge transformations.

[24] These equations can also be obtained in a Wess-Zumino framework [11], namely as the variational equations of the Lagrangian # (3.1) of [11]; the latter is in fact the one-form (B.1) in [6], whose exterior derivative is the two-form $d\big(\mu_0 \cdot g^{-1} dg\big)$.

[25] Alternatively, the restriction of the free two-form (2.4) of V^9 to V^7 is (2.1).

[26] The order is irrelevant, since WS-shifts and boosts commute.